

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,829	08/28/2003	Wataru Taki	2936-0194P	6644	
2292	7590 08/17/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & BI	NGUYEN, MINH T			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Α	dviso	ry Act	ion	•
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appe	al Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/649,829	TAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Minh Nguyen	2816	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an ame places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued is a request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued is a request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued is a request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued is a request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued is a request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The same continued Examination (RCE) is a required to the same continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examination (RCE) is a required to the same continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examina	endment, affidavit, or other evidence, which peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the control of the	om the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under that the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.	27 must be filed within two menths of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compilative with 37 GFR 41. filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period s	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	• •
3. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of f	filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sear	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by n appeal; and/or	materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	e of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	or b) [] will be entered and an explanation of ed.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7 and 11-18</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
 D. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but 	prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections us showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pro-	under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the cla	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and and only to bolow of altability.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	49) Paner No(s)
3. ☐ Other:	15/05 distos
	Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitation which requires the amplifier to perform the function of amplification and isolation requires further search and/or consideration.